IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JONATHAN RIVERA-PIEROLA,

Plaintiff,)

Case Number

Vs.

BOARD OF REGENTS for the OKLAHOMA

AGRICULTURAL and MECHANICAL

COLLEGES, et al.,

Defendant.)

VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF PAUL DeMARS, DVM

TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

ON JUNE 12, 2023

IN STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA

REPORTED BY: BRENDA SCHMITZ, CSR, RPR (VIA ZOOM)

CITY REPORTERS

14 Northeast 13th Street, Suite 101

Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73104

(405)235-3376

```
2
 1
       APPEARANCES:
 2
 3
       FOR THE PLAINTIFF: (VIA ZOOM)
 4
            MR. JASON J. BACH
            Bach Law Firm
 5
            7881 West Charleston, Suite 165
            Las Vegas, NV 89117
            Telephone: 702.925.8787
 6
            Email: jbach@bachlawfirm.com
 7
 8
 9
       FOR THE DEFENDANT (VIA ZOOM WITH THE WITNESS):
10
            MR. CLINTON W. PRATT
            Office of Legal Counsel
11
            Student Union, 5th Floor
            Oklahoma State University
12
            Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078
            Email: clint.pratt@okstate.edu
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

,	
	3
1	INDEX
2	
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BACH5
4	CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PRATT36
5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BACH42
6	
7	Errata48
8	Jurat49
9	Reporter's Certificate50
10	
11	Deposition Exhibits (marked at a later date)
12	Number 1 Syllabus20
13	Number 2 Events described by Plaintiff21
14	Number 3 2/27/20 Gilmour/DeMars email28
15	Number 4 2/27/20 DeMars/Rivera-Pierola
16	email30
17	Number 5 3/3/20 Burba/Gilmour email31
18	Number 6 4 March 2020 letter34
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

STIPULATIONS

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between the parties hereto, through their respective attorneys, that the video conference deposition of PAUL DEMARS, DVM, may be taken on behalf of the Plaintiff on JUNE 12, 2023, in the City of Stillwater, Oklahoma by Brenda Schmitz, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the State of Oklahoma, and Registered Professional Reporter, taken by notice pursuant to the State of Oklahoma Rules of Civil Procedure.

It is further stipulated and agreed by and between the parties hereto, through their respective attorneys, that all objections, except as to the form of the question and the responsiveness of the answer, are reserved until the time of trial, at which time they may be made with the same force and effect as if made at the time of the taking of this deposition.

5 1 And thereupon the following witness was produced 2 by the Plaintiff: 3 PAUL DEMARS, DVM, 4 the witness hereinbefore named, being first duly 5 cautioned and sworn to testify the truth, the whole 6 truth, and nothing but the truth, testified on his 7 oath as follows: 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. BACH: 10 0. Can you state your name for the record, 11 please? 12 Paul DeMars. Α. 13 And, Dr. DeMars, my name is Jason Bach, 14 I'm an attorney who represents Jonathan 15 Rivera-Pierola in a lawsuit against the university; 16 do you understand that? 17 Α. Yes. 18 0. Okay. And thank you for your time here 19 this afternoon. I appreciate it. I'm just going to 20 go over a few of the ground rules here, here today. 21 Have you ever had a deposition taken before? 22 Α. As an expert witness, yes. 23 Q. On -- on how many occasions? 24 Α. One. 25 So you understand that the court Q. Okay.

that --

- A. I'm assuming that this is something that Jonathan wrote, and as I said before, I'm assuming that this is something that he either handed me or e-mailed me, but I do not remember seeing it prior to today.
- Q. And in this document, he talks about various interactions with Dr. Syp and -- and yourself throughout the rotation. Is that -- is that fair to say?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Is there anything that you read in here that you -- you disagree with?
- A. Most of it. I mean, again, this is evidence of what we found that his version of the events and our version of the events differed dramatically.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. So it's always phrased in a way that he's not at fault or he's not responsible, instead of what we would like to see is, yep, I didn't do that well, I missed that, thank you so much for pointing it out, and, you know, correcting their behavior. It's these kinds of attitudes that I think are going to be dangerous if a person gets a professional

degree and is allowed to practice medicine without supervision.

- Q. And I know you can't speak to the portions of this that only deal with Dr. Syp, but in the portions of -- of this document where Jonathan is talking about his interactions with you or meetings that he was in with you --
 - A. Uh-huh.

- Q. -- can you specifically show me which portions of those that you disagreed with his -- his perspective or his characterization, I guess, if you will?
- A. So, probably the one thing that is in my mind is in the case of -- titled Cash Waters, I think it's 044. So, a lot of what I was trying to communicate to Jonathan about why this case concerned me so much is skin and ear problems are a very common issue that we see in our service. We give, even, an hour educational round session on those topics, each of those topics.

And one of the big teaching points that I cannot believe a student would miss with me, is that when we're treating theses types of infections that that are four commonly employed antibiotics. And my expectation is by the end of spending so much time

with them, a student would be able to recall those four antibiotics very, very quickly.

He was unable to, both in the moment and then I believe during the feedback session, so, now we're -- I don't know how many days afterwards, but a good time afterwards, I once again asked him, so, what are the four antibiotics that we would expect to be used. And once again, he had no recall of that fact.

And so it's problematic for me for a student to not capture that knowledge point during the instruction, to not be able to demonstrate it during a clinical case, and then when called it, I mean, because it should have been very clear to me, it's obvious that he knew I was not satisfied with his answer, for him to not have the motivation or ability to go and look it up and have it ready for me the next time I encounter it.

And so, it's -- again, it's a good example of an individual that I feel is unable to accept the criticism that we're leveling towards him, the feedback that we're giving him.

The same with the next case, Augustus Nahs, this is a routine kitten vaccination and he was unable to recite for me the kitten vaccination

program. This, again, is the whole point of our rotation. We spend weeks doing these types of appointments, he should have had several repetitions in which to not only understand the material, but able to be -- to be able to duplicate it, and this is the last day of the rotation.

So he had been given as many chances as I feel that he should be to do something as basic as a wellness appointment, and he was unable to recite for me the kitten vaccination program at this time. And so, once again, instead of saying, you're right, Dr. DeMars, I still didn't have that information, but I certainly do now, we are seeing all kinds of defenses as to why he was actually correct and I was not. So this is all examples of the instances that Dr. Syp and myself identified about Jonathan, and sadly, decided to give him a failing grade because of it.

- Q. And then if you -- if you look underneath that case, it appears that Jonathan is talking about the final meeting that you had with him and Dr. Syp?
 - A. Okay. Uh-huh.
- Q. And can you read that -- that paragraph there and let me know if there's anything that you disagree with?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. PRATT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. I have a few follow-up questions for you, Dr. DeMars.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. I am going to hand you a document, I do not intend to mark it as an exhibit for this deposition, but I'm going to do this for -- hopefully for clarification purposes. It has previously been produced in discovery as Board 00084, and has been an exhibit in some of the other depositions that we have taken. Can you take a look at that document and tell me if you recognize it?
 - A. Yes, I recognize it.
 - Q. What is this document?
- A. This is the official grade sheet that is produced for each student on rotation.
 - Q. Okay. How many pages is it?
 - A. Two pages.
 - Q. What is the first page?
- A. The first page is the numeric calculation of all the sections that we evaluate a student in, and at the bottom is the total points grade for the student.
 - Q. Okay. And the document that you have is a

grade report for the community practice rotation, and does it indicate which student it relates to?

- A. It is for Jonathan.
- Q. Okay. And does it tell you the dates of activity?
- A. Yes. Hold on, let the eyes focus here. February 10th, 2020 to March 1st, 2020.
- Q. Okay. Is this evaluation or grade sheet, is this a standard document that is issued to all students that participate with the community practice rotation?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And does this represent the document that relays the student's final grade to them?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. If you'll turn to the second page.
 What -- the second page is -- looks much different than the first, does it not?
- A. Correct. It is a paragraph with myself, Dr. Syp and another unknown third party's written comments that are an option to fill in for the grade sheet.
- Q. Okay. I want to zero in on that just a little bit, but let's start with -- and I'm going to do this somewhat backwards. But if you'll notice

towards the bottom of the second page --

A. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. -- there appears to be a separate entry from those above it. Do you recognize the final entry there? I believe it starts with either the date before March, 2020 or directly below that.
- A. Yes. It's a repeat of the information that we looked at earlier.
 - Q. Exhibit 6?
 - A. Exhibit 6, yes, sir.
- Q. So, earlier when you were presented with Exhibit 6, it appeared to be a letter that was written from you to Jonathan about your concerns with him in the rotation?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. That letter is repeated verbatim here as your commentary to his evaluation?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, we're, like I said, go in reverse order. Let's go back to the top of the page.
 - A. Okay. On page 2?
 - Q. Yes, sir.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. It also starts, it is written in letter

form, addressed to Jonathan; do you see that?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What -- can you discern who submitted this portion of the evaluation?
- A. Down below, we see LAS, my assumption is that's Dr. Syp.
- Q. Now, directly following the LAS, but prior to what appears to be the beginning of your --
 - A. Correct.
- Q. -- statement, there is a singular sentence. Would you read that for us for the record?
- A. "On more than one occasion where this student was not telling the truth regarding what he had or had not done concerning patient care." With a dash afterwards.
- Q. Do you know who contributed that statement?
- A. No, I do not know who wrote that statement.
 - Q. Who could have written that statement?
- A. Evaluators include our nursing staff and any of the interns, which are young doctors who also see cases under our supervision. So I believe the intern on this rotation was Dr. Irizarry.

- Q. So you believe that this report consists of three separate entries; is that correct?
- A. This report definitely consists of three separate entries.
- Q. But as you sit here today, you -- you have no certainty as to who contributed the second of the --
- A. No. We do not ask that people who provide written comment identify themselves. It is optional if they wish to, so the student can go back to that person for more information. So we -- especially with nurses and young doctors, we want to make sure that there is no pressure that could be leveraged upon them afterwards.
- Q. Is it common for them not to include a signature?
- A. Yes, very common for them not to include a signature.
- Q. Okay. Dr. DeMars, during your time as a clinical professor at the Oklahoma State University Veterinary -- College of Veterinary Medicine, are you aware of or can you recall any instances of a year four clinical student being written up or receiving a violation of the academic integrity policy?

A. No.

- Q. And why would that be?
- A. The clinical year is not like other classes. We are working in a much smaller group, we are working in a clinical setting, it is not the typical lecture, examination-type experience that classrooms typically have. So, things that I would feel would qualify for an academic integrity violation aren't really occurring.

The only way I can think of one occurring would be if a student cheated on a written evaluation, such as we have in the course or plagiarize some written report that they had to turn in on a case, those are the things that I have seen handled by official academic integrity violation reports.

So, things that we typically deal with on a unsatisfactory performance are more skill based or attitude based than they are integrity violations.

Q. Is there anything that you observed during Jonathan's participation in your rotation that led you to believe that an academic integrity violation should or could have been filed against him?

A. No.

MR. PRATT: I have no additional questions.

				4	. 8
1			ERRATA SHEET		
2		W	ITNESS: PAUL DEMARS, DVM		
3		DA	ATE: JUNE 12, 2023		
4		RI	EPORTER: Brenda Schmitz,	CSR, RPR	
5	NO COR	RECTIO	ONS ARE NECESSARY		
6	PAGE	LINE	CORRECTION	REASON	
7					
8					
9					
10					-
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					•

		49
1	JURAT	
, 2	I, PAUL DEMARS, DVM, do hereby state under	
3	oath that I have read the above and foregoing	
4	transcript in its entirety, and that the same is a	
5	full, true, and correct transcription of my	
6	testimony so given at said time and place, except	
7	for the corrections noted.	
8		
9	DALL DEMADO DIM	
10	PAUL DEMARS, DVM	
11	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME, the	
12	undersigned Notary Public in and for the State of	
13	on this, the day of	
14	, 2023.	
15		
16	Notary Public	_
17		
18	My Commission Expires:	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	REPORTED BY: BRENDA SCHMITZ, CSR, RPR	
25		

City Reporters (405)235-3376

50 1 CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF OKLAHOMA SS: 3 OKLAHOMA COUNTY 4 I, Brenda Schmitz, Certified Shorthand Reporter 5 within and for the State of Oklahoma, do hereby 6 certify that the above-named PAUL DEMARS, DVM, was 7 by me first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the 8 whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the case aforesaid; that the above and foregoing deposition 9 10 was by me taken in shorthand and thereafter transcribed; that the same is true and correct; and 11 12 that it was taken on JUNE 12, 2023, at the time of 2:07 p.m. in the City of Stillwater, County of 13 Payne, State of Oklahoma under the stipulations 14 hereinbefore set out, and that I am not attorney for 15 16 or relative of any of said parties or otherwise interested in the event of said action. 17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand 18 and official seal this 19th day of June, 2023. 19 20 21 22 BRENDA SCHMITZ, CSR, RPR #18230 23 Oklahoma Certified Shorthand Reporter Certificate No. 00823 24 Expires: December 31, 2023 25